RESEARCH

Open Access



Exposure to ambient air pollution and calcification of the mitral annulus and aortic valve: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (MESA)

Martin Tibuakuu^{1,2}, Miranda R. Jones³, Ana Navas-Acien⁴, Di Zhao³, Eliseo Guallar³, Amanda J. Gassett^{5,6}, Lianne Sheppard^{5,6}, Matthew J. Budoff⁷, Joel D. Kaufman^{5,8} and Erin D. Michos^{1,3,9*}

Abstract

Background: Long-term exposure to high ambient air pollution has been associated with coronary artery calcium (CAC), a marker of cardiovascular disease (CVD). Calcifications of left-sided heart valves are also markers of CVD risk. We investigated whether air pollution was associated with valvular calcification and its progression.

Methods: We studied 6253 MESA participants aged 45–84 years who underwent two cardiac CT scans 2.5 years apart to quantify aortic valve calcium (AVC) and mitral annular calcium (MAC). CAC was included for the same timeframe for comparison with AVC/MAC. Ambient particulate matter <2.5 μ m (PM_{2.5}) and oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) concentrations were predicted from residence-specific spatio-temporal models.

Results: The mean age (SD) of the study sample was 62 (10) years, 39% were white, 27% black, 22% Hispanic, and 12% Chinese. The prevalence of AVC and MAC at baseline were 13% and 9% respectively, compared to 50% prevalence of CAC. The adjusted prevalence ratios of AVC and MAC for each 5 μ g/m³ higher PM_{2.5} was 1.19 (95% Cl 0.87, 1.62) and 1. 20 (0.81, 1.77) respectively, and for CAC was 1.14 (1.01, 1.27). Over 2.5 years, the mean change in Agatston units/year for each 5 μ g/m³ higher PM_{2.5} concentration was 0.29 (-5.05, 5.63) for AVC and 4.38 (-9.13, 17.88) for MAC, compared to 8. 66 (0.61, 16.71) for CAC. We found no significant associations of NOx with AVC and MAC.

Conclusion: Our findings suggest a trend towards increased 2.5-year progression of MAC with exposure to outdoor PM_{2.5}, although this association could not be confirmed. Additional well-powered studies with longer periods of follow-up are needed to further study associations of air pollution with valvular calcium.

Trial registration: Although MESA is not a clinical trial, this cohort is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00005487; Date of registration May 25, 2000.

Keywords: Air pollution, Valvular calcification, Aortic valve calcification, Mitral annulus calcification, Epidemiology, Prevention

* Correspondence: edonnell@jhmi.edu

³Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

¹Ciccarone Center for the Prevention of Heart Disease, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

Background

Several epidemiologic studies have associated long-term exposure to air pollution with increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [1–3]. A possible underlying mechanism is through increased pulmonary and systemic inflammation [4, 5]. Additionally, exposure to ambient air pollution has been linked to increased risk for some traditional atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors, including diabetes, hypertension and dyslipidemia [6-8]. Prior work from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis and Air Pollution (MESA Air) reported an association of increased exposure to air pollution with progression of coronary artery calcification (CAC) [9], a powerful subclinical marker of absolute and relative CVD risk [10, 11]. Similar to CAC, calcification involving leftsided heart valves (mitral annular calcification [MAC] and aortic valve calcification [AVC]) are more prevalent among patients with coronary artery disease [12]. Although CAC and calcification involving left-sided heart valves are located at different territories of the cardiovascular system, they are strongly associated [13] and have been shown to share similar traditional CVD risk factors [12, 14, 15]. When severe, AVC and MAC can lead to valvular dysfunction, which may lead to heart failure. Also, asymptomatic cases of AVC and MAC have been linked to increased risk for myocardial infarction, stroke, atrial fibrillation and vascular death, independent of traditional CVD risk factors [16, 17]. Whether exposure to air pollution is also associated with AVC and MAC is unknown.

We sought to examine associations of household-level concentrations of particulate matter less than 2.5 μ m in diameter (PM2.5) and oxides of nitrogen (NOx) with the prevalence and progression of AVC and MAC measured by cardiac computed tomography (CT), and compared to CAC, in a well-characterized cohort from six metropolitan areas in the United States (U.S.).

Methods

Study population

Detailed description of MESA has been published elsewhere [18]. In brief, 6814 White, Black, Hispanic and Chinese participants aged 45–84 years and free of clinical CVD at time of enrollment were recruited from six U.S. cities (Baltimore, Maryland; Chicago, Illinois; Forsyth County, North Carolina; Los Angeles, California; New York, New York and St. Paul, Minnesota). The first enrollment took place between 2000 and 2002. Follow-up visits took place between 2002 and 2004 for Exam 2 and 2004–2005 for Exam 3. MESA was designed to investigate the significance of subclinical CVD. MESA Air was an ancillary study of MESA designed to examine the impact of individual-level estimates of air pollution on CVD risk [19]. Institutional Review Boards of all participating sites approved the study, and all participants signed informed consent. Of the 6814 participants enrolled in MESA, 240 participants were excluded for missing year 2000 air pollution concentrations and 321 for missing key covariates, leaving a total of 6253 participants in our baseline analytic sample (Fig. 1).

Measurement of concentrations of air pollutants ($PM_{2.5}$ and NO_x)

MESA Air predicted outdoor (household-level) concentrations of particulate matter less than 2.5 µm in diameter (PM_{2.5}) and oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) for MESA participants using residence-specific hierarchical spatiotemporal models as previously described [19-21]. First, observed outdoor concentrations of pollutants were measured from nearly 100 consecutive fortnightly air samples collected from 27 fixed site monitors placed at MESA communities by MESA Air to supplement data from the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Air Quality System. To create a spatially rich data for NO_x, nearly 100 simultaneous fortnightly saturation samples were also collected from all MESA cities on three occasions ("snapshots") to capture seasonal variations. Residence-specific hierarchical spatio-temporal models accounting for irregular monitoring and using all monitoring data (EPA's monitoring data and data from MESA Air's fixed monitors) were then developed to predict household-level PM2.5 and NOx for each fortnight over the course of the study [21].

For progression analysis, averages of fortnightly residencespecific predictions of pollutants from baseline CT to follow-up CT scan dates, rounded to the nearest whole year were used to account for potential seasonal effects. Year 2000 concentrations were used in our baseline prevalence analysis. Additionally, in secondary analyses, individually-weighted exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ ($PM_{2.5iwa}$) for each fortnight over the course of the study was calculated by integrating outdoor $PM_{2.5}$, indoor infiltration and time-location data [22]. Indoor infiltration was estimated using meteorology and responses from MESA Air participants regarding heating choices, air conditioner use, and window opening [23].

Measurement of AVC and MAC

AVC and MAC were assessed by cardiac-gated electronbeam cardiac CT at three study centers and a four-slice multidetector row helical CT at the other 3 centers. The MESA scanning protocol has been previously published [24]. Briefly, participants underwent two consecutive scans at the same visit and results were averaged to enhance the accuracy of calcium assessments. AVC and MAC were quantified by the Agatston scoring method [25]. All studies were interpreted at one central reading center (Harbor-UCLA Research and Education Institute, Los Angeles, CA). Any detectable calcium was defined as a score > 0 Agatston units (AU). A minimum focus of calcification was based on



at least 4 contiguous voxels, resulting in identification of calcium of 1.15 mm³ with the multi-detector row helical CT scanners (0.68 Å~ 0.68 Å~ 2.50 mm) and 1.38 mm³ with the electron beam CT scanners (0.68 Å~ 0.68 Å~ 3.00 mm). Details of the image acquisition and interpretation protocols, quality control measures and inter-observer reliability characteristics have been reported [24, 26]. Per study protocol, all participants underwent a cardiac CT scan at baseline, a random half at Exam 2 and the other half at Exam 3. Although some MESA Air participants additionally underwent a third cardiac CT at Exam 5 (2010–2012) for CAC as previously described [9], these latter scans have not yet been interpreted for MAC and AVC. Thus, cardiac CT data from Exam 1 and Exams 2/3 were used for this analysis.

Covariates

Demographics, behavioral risk factors, medical history and medication history were obtained using standardized questionnaires at Exam 1. The following variables were adjusted for in our analyses: age, sex, race/ethnicity (White; Chinese; Black; or Hispanic), education (less than high school; high school or vocational school; college, graduate or professional school), study site, scanner type, annual family income (categorized as \$24,999 or less; \$25,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$74,999; and \$75,000 or greater), cigarette smoking status (categorized as current; former; never), second-hand smoke exposure (in hours per week) and physical activity level (METS*minutes/week of moderate or vigorous activity). We also included baseline CVD risk factors: family history of heart attack, systolic blood pressure, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (CRP), diabetes (defined as fasting blood glucose \geq 126 mg/dl, or nonfasting glucose \geq 200 mg/dl or medication use), body mass index (BMI, as a continuous variable), estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) derived from the CKD-EPI eq. [27], total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, and medication usage (lipidlowering therapy or antihypertensive).

Statistical analysis

Since recent epidemiologic studies have suggested that separate biological mechanisms may explain AVC and MAC [28, 29], we opted to model these as separate outcomes in this study. Since Air Quality Standards are set based on outdoor concentrations of pollutants, associations of outdoor-levels of PM_{2.5} and NO_x with AVC and MAC were the primary focus of this study. PM_{2.5iwa} was included as a secondary analysis. Prevalent AVC and MAC were defined as Agatston score > 0 at baseline. Modified Poisson regression model with robust variance estimation was then used to estimate the adjusted prevalence risk ratio (adjPRR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for each 5 µg/m³ higher PM_{2.5} concentration (outdoor and $\text{PM}_{2.5\text{iwa}}\text{)}$ and 40 ppb higher in outdoor NO_x [30]. Each pollutant ($PM_{2.5}$ or NO_x) was included in separate models for each primary

outcome (AVC or MAC), and parameters were estimated as 5 μ g/m³ and 40 ppb higher because they are close to the interquartile ranges of PM_{2.5} and NO_x respectively [9].

For progression of AVC and MAC, we subtracted baseline Agatston scores from follow-up scores for all participants with baseline and follow-up CT data (Fig. 1), and divided the difference by time between CT scans

Table 1 Baseline (2000–2002) characteristics of study participants by prevalent AVC and MAC (N = 6253)

	All (N = 6253)	AVC at Baseline		MAC at Baseline	
		Yes (n = 812, 13%)	No (n = 5441, 87%)	Yes (n = 585, 9%)	No (n = 5668, 91%)
Characteristic					
Demographics					
Age (years)	62 ± 10	70 ± 8	$61 \pm 10^{+}$	72 ± 8	$61 \pm 10^{+}$
Men (%)	47.2	59.8	45.4†	40.6	47.9†
Ethnicity (%)					
White	39.4	45.6	38.1†	49.1	38.4†
Chinese	11.9	7.9	12.9	5.8	12.5
Black	26.5	23.1	26.8	20.4	27.1
Hispanic	22.2	23.4	22.3	24.7	22
Education level (%)					
Less than high school	17.6	23.2	17.0†	22.8	17.0†
High school or vocational school	41.4	41.5	41.2	43.2	41.2
College, graduate or professional school	41.1	35.3	41.8	34.1	41.8
Annual family income (in \$, %)					
≤24,999	30.9	38.1	29.9	43.0	29.7†
25,000–49,999	29.1	32.0	28.7	30.7	29.0
50,000–74,999	17.3	14.2	17.7	12.2	17.8
≥75,000	22.7	15.8	23.7	14.2	23.6
Smoking status (%)					
Current smokers	12.8	10.1	13.3†	9.8	13.1*
Former smokers	36.6	46.6	35.1	40.0	36.3
General health characteristics					
Diabetes (%)	12.4	19.5	11.3†	18.2	11.8†
Statin use (%)	16.4	26.3	14.7†	26	15.4†
Antihypertensive use (%)	32.6	48.9	30.1†	47.8	31.1†
Body-mass index (kg/m²)	28.3 ± 5.5	28.5 ± 4.9	28.3 ± 5.5	28.9 ± 5.6	28.3 ± 5.4†
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	126 ± 21	135 ± 22	125 ± 21†	135 ± 23	$125 \pm 21 \pm$
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)‡	51.0 ± 14.8	48.8 ± 13.6	51.3 ± 14.9†	52.0 ± 15.1	50.9 ± 14.7
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)‡	194.4 ± 35.9	195.2 ± 38.2	194.1 ± 35.4	193.5 ± 37.9	194.5 ± 35.6
Estimated glomerular filtration rate	78.1 ± 16.3	70.9 ± 16.5	79.2 ± 16.0†	69.9 ± 16.8	78.9 ± 16.0†
C-reactive protein (mg/L)	1.9 (3.4)	2.0 (3.2)	1.9 (3.4) *	2.2 (3.5)	1.8 (3.4) *
Physical activity (MET-min/wk)	4043 (5535)	3480 (4890)	4151 (5689) †	3814 (4668)	4073 (5703) †
Air pollution in year 2000					
Household PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³)	16.8 ± 2.9	16.8 ± 2.9	16.8 ± 2.9	16.8±2.9	16.8 ± 2.9
Household NO_x (ppb)	51.3 ± 27.8	51.8 ± 29.0	51.2 ± 27.8	51.0 ± 27.9	51.3 ± 27.9
Individual-level PM _{2.5} (μg/m ³)	11.0 ± 3.3	11.0 ± 3.3	11.0 ± 3.3	10.9 ± 3.2	11.0 ± 3.3

Results are reported as percent, mean \pm standard deviation or median (interquartile range)

P-values for continuous variables were calculated using t test with equal variances or Kolmogorov-Smirnov test where appropriate, and for categorical variables using chi-square test

+ *P* < 0.001; **P* < 0.05

‡ To convert total and HDL-C cholesterol from mg/dl to mmol/L, divide by 38.67

to obtain an annual change in AVC and MAC from baseline. Staged linear regression models were used to estimate associations of $\rm PM_{2.5}$ and $\rm NO_x$ (in separate models) with annual change in AVC or MAC from baseline.

Models were staged to be similar to the prior published analyses about air pollution and CAC [9] as follows: Model 1 adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, study site and CT scanner type; Model 2 additionally adjusted for smoking status, second-hand smoke exposure, physical activity, BMI, total and HDL cholesterol and statin use; Model 3 (our main Model) additionally adjusted for income and education; and Model 4 additionally adjusted for the CVD risk factors of family history of heart attack, diabetes, systolic blood pressure, use of antihypertensive medication, eGFR and CRP.

Although the association of air pollution with 10-year change in CAC from the MESA Air Study has previously been published [9], in secondary analyses, we replicated the above analyses using CAC data up to MESA Exam 3 (an average follow-up of 2.5 years and the same time frame for the available data for AVC and MAC) to enable comparison with our AVC and MAC findings. Also, multiplicative interaction terms were created to evaluate for effect modification by race/ethnicity, age, sex and by the other pollutant (PM_{2.5} or NO_x).

All statistical analyses were performed using STATA 13 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX) and significance was considered at *P* value of 0.05 or less.

Results

Table 1 summarizes the demographic, general health characteristics and air pollution exposures of the analytical sample (n = 6253) at baseline, by prevalent AVC and MAC. The mean (standard deviation) age of the cohort was 62 (10) years and included 39.4% Whites, 11.9% Chinese, 26.5% Blacks, and 22.2% Hispanics. Compared to those without AVC, participants with AVC at baseline were older [70 (8) vs. 61 (10) years], more likely to be men [59.8% vs. 45.4%], have higher systolic blood pressures [135 (22) vs. 125 (21) mmHg] and less likely to be physically active [3480 (4890) vs. 4151(5689) MET-minutes/ week]. Similarly, when compared to those without MAC, participants with prevalent MAC at baseline were older, more likely to have higher systolic blood pressures and less likely to be physically active. Of note, participants with prevalent AVC and MAC at baseline were less likely to be current smokers, but on the other hand, these participants were older, more likely to be on cardiac medications and thus more likely to have quit smoking.

Outdoor concentrations of pollutants for the overall study population in year 2000 were on average (SD)



16.8 (2.9) μ g/m³ for outdoor PM_{2.5}, 11.0 (3.3) μ g/m³ for individual-level PM_{2.5} and 51.3 (27.8) ppb for outdoor NO_x (Table 1).

The prevalence of AVC and MAC at baseline was 13% for AVC and 9.4% for MAC, compared to 49.8% for CAC (Fig. 1). Among those with prevalent AVC, 28.7% had MAC > 0 at baseline. Conversely, among those with prevalent MAC, 40% had AVC > 0 at baseline. The adjPRR of AVC and MAC for each 5 μ g/m³ higher outdoor PM_{2.5} concentration was 1.19 (95% CI 0.87, 1.62) and 1.20 (95% CI 0.81, 1.77), respectively (Fig. 2; Table 2, Model 3). The corresponding adjPRR for CAC was similar but reached statistical significance (Table 2). The adjPRR of AVC and MAC for each 40 ppb higher outdoor NO_x concentration was 1.11 (95% CI 0.91, 1.37) and 0.95 (95% CI 0.75, 1.21), respectively (Fig. 2; Table 2, Model 3). The corresponding adjPRR for CAC was similar and also not significant.

Over a mean follow-up of 2.5 years, the mean (standard deviation) annual change (in Agatston units/year) for AVC was 2.0 (38.9) and for MAC was 8.4 (97.2) (Fig. 1). For every 5 μ g/m³ higher outdoor PM_{2.5} concentration, the mean change in Agatston units/year from baseline was 0.29 (95% CI: -5.05, 5.63) for AVC and 4.38 (95% CI: -9.13,

 Table 2
 Adjusted associations of air pollutants with prevalent

 AVC and MAC, compared to CAC at the MESA baseline exam
 (2000–2002)

· ,			
Prevalence Risk	Ratio (95% CI)		
Model	PM _{2.5} (per 5 μg/m ³)	PM _{2.5iwa} (per 5 μg/m ³)	NO _x (per 40 ppb)
Prevalent AVC			
1†	1.13 (0.85, 1.50)	1.23 (0.98, 1.54)	1.14 (0.94, 1.38)
2‡	1.18 (0.87, 1.60)	1.27 (1.00, 1.62)	1.13 (0.92–1.37)
3∥	1.19 (0.87, 1.62)	1.26 (0.98, 1.61)	1.11 (0.91–1.37)
4**	1.09 (0.82, 1.60)	1.28 (1.01, 1.64)	1.09 (0.86–1.33)
Prevalent MAC			
1†	1.26 (0.89, 1.78)	1.10 (0.83, 1.45)	1.02 (0.82–1.27)
2‡	1.20 (0.81, 1.76)	1.06 (0.79, 1.42)	0.94 (0.75–1.19)
3	1.20 (0.81, 1.77)	1.01 (0.75, 1.37)	0.95 (0.75–1.21)
4**	1.12 (0.75, 1.68)	1.02 (0.75, 1.38)	0.98 (0.72–1.20)
Prevalent CAC			
1†	1.12 (1.01, 1.25)	1.02 (0.94, 1.11)	1.03 (0.96, 1.10)
2‡	1.13 (1.01, 1.27)	1.04 (0.95, 1.13)	1.00 (0.93, 1.08)
3∥	1.14 (1.01, 1.27)	1.05 (0.96, 1.15)	1.01 (0.93, 1.08)
4**	1.16 (1.03, 1.31)	1.07 (0.98, 1.17)	1.01 (0.93, 1.09)

*Bolded results are statistically significant

+Model 1: age, sex, race/ethnicity, metropolitan area and CT scan type +Model 2: Model 1 plus smoking status, second-hand smoke exposure, physical activity, body mass index, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol and statin use ||Model 3 (Main model): Model 2 plus income and education

**Model 4: Model 3 plus family history of heart attack, systolic blood pressure, diabetes, anti-hypertensive medication use, C-reactive protein and eGFR 17.88) for MAC (Fig. 3; Table 3, Model 3). For each 40 ppb higher in outdoor NOx, the average change from baseline was –1.90 (95% CI: -6.20, 2.40) and 3.94 (–6.93, 14.83) Agatston units/year for AVC and MAC respectively (Fig. 3; Table 3, Model 3). The corresponding annual change in CAC are also presented in Fig. 3 and Table 3. The associations for CAC were somewhat stronger and statistically significant, although confidence intervals overlapped with the findings for MAC.

In secondary analyses, we also present the results of the individually-weighted PM2.5 exposure ($PM_{2.5iwa}$) for cross-sectional (Table 2) and longitudinal (Table 3) analyses. Associations were somewhat stronger for AVC prevalence (Table 2) but weaker for AVC/MAC/CAC progression analysis (Table 3). In sensitivity analysis, we also examined the effect of each pollutant as adjusted for the other co-pollutant on AVC and MAC, and the results remained largely unchanged from the primary analysis of single exposure modules. Also, there was no evidence for effect modification when pollutants were combined in the same model. Additionally, there were no significant interactions by age, sex or race/ethnicity for the associations tested.

Discussion

In this ethnically diverse population free of preexisting clinical CVD, there was a trend towards increased 2.5-year progression of MAC with exposure to outdoor $PM_{2.5}$. However, this association could not be confirmed, although we did confirm an adverse association of $PM_{2.5}$ with the more prevalent CAC even over this short duration.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the association between ambient air pollution and valvular calcification. Kaufman et al. reported a significant association of long-term (a 10-year) exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ with CAC progression in this same cohort [9], and we also confirmed that this association with CAC remains significant even after considering a short follow-up period of 2.5 years.

In contrast to AVC, the estimate for the association of $PM_{2.5}$ with the 2.5-year progression of MAC was similar in magnitude to CAC (Fig. 2). These findings are consistent with prior reports of a much stronger association of CAC with MAC than with AVC [13]. It is therefore possible that the same biological mechanisms may underlie both diseases [8]. The findings herein would suggest that the association of air pollution is specific with CAC rather than with vascular calcification in general. However our study population had a low prevalence of MAC, which may have reduced statistical power. For comparison, in the Cardiovascular Health Study, an older population (mean age 72 ± 5 years), the prevalences of AVC and MAC were 59% and 41% respectively [28]. Future studies evaluating the associations of PM_{2.5} with AVC and MAC in cohorts with higher prevalence of valvular calcification would likely provide further insight.



Table 3 Adjusted associations of annual averages of $PM_{2.5}$ and NO_x with annual change in AVC and MAC over follow-up, compared to CAC

Results presented as Agatston units/year (95% CI)					
Model	PM _{2.5} (per 5 μg/m ³)	PM _{2.5iwa} (per 5 μg/m ³)	NO _x (per 40 ppb)		
Change in AVC					
1†	0.99 (-3.77, 5.75)	-0.37 (-3.89, 3.16)	-0.73 (-4.53, 3.06)		
2‡	0.33 (-4.98, 5.64)	-0.21 (-4.08, 3.66)	-1.74 (-5.94, 2.46)		
3	0.29 (-5.05, 5.63)	-0.42 (-4.37, 3.54)	-1.90 (-6.20, 2.40)		
4**	-0.78 (-5.50, 3.94)	-1.15 (-4.55, 2.25)	-2.58 (-6.30, 1.20)		
Change in MAC					
1†	2.85 (-9.21, 14.91)	-0.61 (-8.94, 7.71)	1.28 (-8.33, 10.89)		
2‡	3.40 (-10.03, 16.83)	1.28 (-7.82, 10.38)	2.32 (-8.29, 12.93)		
3	4.38 (-9.13, 17.88)	2.15 (-7.14, 11.43)	3.94 (-6.93, 14.83)		
4**	2.72 (-11.21, 16.65)	1.44 (-8.24, 11.12)	2.26 (-8.87, 13.39)		
Change in CAC					
1†	6.84 (-0.68, 14.36)	2.64 (-2.70, 7.98)	3.44 (-2.56, 9.43)		
2‡	8.36 (0.36, 16.37)	3.18 (-2.43, 8.79)	3.43 (-2.89, 9.75)		
3	8.66 (0.61, 16.71)	3.07 (-2.65, 8.79)	3.66 (-2.82, 10.14)		
4**	9.12 (1.16, 17.09)	3.39 (-2.16, 8.94)	2.55 (-3.31, 8.91)		

*Bolded results are statistically significant

†Model 1: age, sex, race/ethnicity, metropolitan area and CT scan type

#Model 2: Model 1 plus smoking status, second-hand smoke exposure, physical activity, body mass index, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol and statin use ||Model 3 (Main model): Model 2 plus income and education

**Model 4: Model 3 plus family history of heart attack, systolic blood pressure, diabetes, anti-hypertensive medication use, C-reactive protein and eGFR

Furthermore, given that the exposures in this cohort were low by historical and international standards exposures [31], it is also possible that there is a lower threshold for atherosclerosis progression in the coronary arteries resulting from exposure to ambient PM25 compared to calcification of left-sided heart valves. Additionally, the left-sided heart is a relatively high flow area compared to coronary arteries and may take longer for progressive calcium deposition to build up. This implies a longer followup period may be needed to better assess the associations of air pollution with AVC and MAC. Although CAC and valvular calcification share many risk factors in common and are correlated with each other [13], there still may remain differences in the risks conferred by traditional and non-traditional CVD risk factors across the various vascular beds.

Although our findings regarding air pollution and AVC and MAC are consistent with no association, our data are novel in that we evaluated the impact of air pollution with progression of calcification in vascular beds previously not extensively studied in a large population-based cohort free of CVD. Our findings have relevance to public health globally, as Fox et al. previously reported the independent association of MAC with incident CVD outcomes and death [17]. Our findings of a suggestive trend towards increased 2.5-year progression of MAC with exposure to outdoor $PM_{2.5}$, if confirmed in additional well-powered studies with longer periods of follow-up, may provide insight into other potential underlying mechanisms linking air pollution to CVD morbidity and mortality.

This study was conducted in six U.S. cities with a racially/ethnically diverse population without prior clinical CVD at the time of enrollment, reducing the likelihood of confounding by poorer health status. AVC, MAC and CAC were assessed with serial CT scan measurements and potential confounders were also well characterized in MESA. Furthermore, concentrations of pollutants were estimated using state-of-the-art methods that enabled prediction of household and individual level exposures. Nonetheless, there are some limitations to this study that must be acknowledged. First, concentrations of pollutants during follow-up of this cohort may not be high enough to capture the association between air pollution and progression of valvular calcification. The mean household-level PM_{2.5} concentration for this cohort over the follow-up period was 15.3 (range: 8.8–26.5) μ g/m³. Compared to the European Union's annual PM_{2.5} standards of 25 μ g/m³, the levels measured in this study are much lower [31]. Hence, findings for AVC and MAC cannot be generalized to other industrializing countries with considerably higher air pollution levels [32, 33]. Second, although a significant association between PM2.5 and CAC was found even after 2.5 years of follow-up, this timeframe was likely too short to assess associations of air pollution with AVC and MAC, which would relatively be slower possibly because of differences in hemodynamics between the left heart chambers and the coronary arteries.

Conclusions

In summary, an adverse trend was found between outdoor $PM_{2.5}$ and 2.5-year progression of MAC in a racially/ethnically diverse cohort from 6 U.S. cities with low exposures by historical and international standards, although this association could not be confirmed. On the other hand, we did confirm the association of $PM_{2.5}$ with the more prevalent CAC over this same short time period. Additional wellpowered studies with long periods of follow-up and in communities with higher levels of exposures are warranted to better assess the association between air pollution and valvular calcification.

Abbreviations

adjPRR: Adjusted prevalence risk ratio; AVC: Aortic valve calcium; BMI: Body Mass Index; CAC: Coronary artery calcium; CI: Confidence Intervals; CT: Computed Tomography; CRP: C-Reactive Protein; CVD: Cardiovascular Disease; EPA: Environmental Protection Agency; eGFR: Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; HDL: High Density Lipoprotein; MAC: Mitral annular calcium; MESA: Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis; NO_x: Oxides of nitrogen; PM_{2.5}: Ambient particulate matter <2.5 μm

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the other investigators, the staff, and the participants of the MESA study for their valuable contributions. A full list of participating MESA investigators and institutions can be found at http://www.mesa-nhlbi.org.

Authors' contributions

Drs. MT and EM designed the study. Dr. MT performed the statistical analyses and wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. Dr. JK obtained the funding for the MESA Air ancillary study and provided critical revisions to the paper. Dr. MB obtained the funding and performed the interpretation for the valvular calcium assessment from the cardiac CT scans, and provided critical revisions to the paper. Drs. MJ, ANA, DZ, EG, AG, and LS provided critical revisions to the paper. Drs. MT and EM take fully responsibility for its content. All authors reviewed the final draft and approve of its submission. The manuscript was also approved by the MESA and MESA Air Publication Committees.

Funding

Dr. Michos was supported by the Blumenthal Scholars Fund for Preventive Cardiology Research.

This research was supported by R01 HL071739 and MESA was supported by contracts N01-HC-95159, N01-HC-95160, N01-HC-95161, N01-HC-95162, N01-HC-95163, N01-HC-95164, N01-HC-95165, N01-HC-95166, N01-HC-95167, N01-HC-95168 and N01-HC-95169 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, and by grants UL1-TR-000040, UL1 TR 001079, and UL1-RR-025005 from National Center for Research Resources. The MESA Air Pollution study was also funded by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Science to Achieve Results (STAR) Program (grant RD 831697). It has not been formally reviewed by the EPA. The views expressed in this document are solely those of the authors and the EPA does not endorse any products or commercial services mentioned in this publication.

Availability of data and materials

The MESA study participates in data sharing through the National Heart, Lung, Blood Institute (NHLBI) Biologic Specimen and Data Repository Coordinating Center (BioLINCC). Requests for access to the data can be made through their website: https://biolincc.nhlbi.nih.gov/studies/mesa/

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted under the guiding principles of the Declaration of Helsinki for the protection of human subjects. Institutional Review Boards of

all participating MESA sites approved the study, and all participants signed informed consent.

Consent for publication

Not applicable. (No individual person's data is included. MESA study data was de-identified and presented in aggregate.

Competing interests

Dr. Michos has received an honorarium from Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics (unrelated to topic). Dr. Budoff has received research funds from GE Healthcare.

No other authors declare a conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Ciccarone Center for the Prevention of Heart Disease, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA. ²Department of Medicine, St. Luke's Hospital, Chesterfield, MO, USA. ³Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA. ⁴Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Columbia University School of Public Health, New York, NY, USA. ⁵Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. ⁷Division of Cardiology, Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ⁸Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. ⁹Division of Cardiology, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Blalock 524-B, 600 N. Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA.

Received: 31 July 2017 Accepted: 7 December 2017 Published online: 21 December 2017

References

1. Brook RD. Cardiovascular effects of air pollution. Clin Sci. 2008;115(6):175–87.

- Kaufman JD. Does air pollution accelerate progression of atherosclerosis? J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;56(22):1809–11.
- Brook RD, Rajagopalan S, Pope CA 3rd, Brook JR, Bhatnagar A, Diez-Roux AV, Holguin F, Hong Y, Luepker RV, Mittleman MA, et al. Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: an update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2010;121(21):2331–78.
- Hajat A, Allison M, Diez-Roux AV, Jenny NS, Jorgensen NW, Szpiro AA, Vedal S, Kaufman JD. Long-term exposure to air pollution and markers of inflammation, coagulation, and endothelial activation: a repeat-measures analysis in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (MESA). Epidemiology. 2015;26(3):310–20.
- Ghio AJ, Kim C, Devlin RB. Concentrated ambient air particles induce mild pulmonary inflammation in healthy human volunteers. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2000;162(3 Pt 1):981–8.
- Chuang KJ, Yan YH, Cheng TJ. Effect of air pollution on blood pressure, blood lipids, and blood sugar: a population-based approach. J Occup Environ Med. 2010;52(3):258–62.
- Yitshak Sade M, Kloog I, Liberty IF, Schwartz J, Novack V. The association between air pollution exposure and glucose and lipids levels. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2016;101(6):2460–7.
- Cosselman KE, Navas-Acien A, Kaufman JD. Environmental factors in cardiovascular disease. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2015;12(11):627–42.
- Kaufman JD, Adar SD, Barr RG, Budoff M, Burke GL, Curl CL, Daviglus ML, Diez Roux AV, Gassett AJ, Jacobs DR Jr, et al. Association between air pollution and coronary artery calcification within six metropolitan areas in the USA (the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis and air pollution): a longitudinal cohort study. Lancet. 2016;388(10045):696–704.
- Silverman MG, Blaha MJ, Krumholz HM, Budoff MJ, Blankstein R, Sibley CT, Agatston A, Blumenthal RS, Nasir K. Impact of coronary artery calcium on coronary heart disease events in individuals at the extremes of traditional risk factor burden: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. Eur Heart J. 2014;35(33):2232–41.
- 11. Yeboah J, McClelland RL, Polonsky TS, Burke GL, Sibley CT, O'Leary D, Carr JJ, Goff DC, Greenland P, Herrington DM. Comparison of novel risk markers for

improvement in cardiovascular risk assessment in intermediate-risk individuals. JAMA. 2012;308(8):788–95.

- Kanjanauthai S, Nasir K, Katz R, Rivera JJ, Takasu J, Blumenthal RS, Eng J, Budoff MJ. Relationships of mitral annular calcification to cardiovascular risk factors: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (MESA). Atherosclerosis. 2010;213(2):558–62.
- Hamirani YS, Nasir K, Blumenthal RS, Takasu J, Shavelle D, Kronmal R, Budoff M. Relation of mitral annular calcium and coronary calcium (from the multiethnic study of atherosclerosis [MESA]). Am J Cardiol. 2011;107(9):1291–4.
- 14. Abramowitz Y, Jilaihawi H, Chakravarty T, Mack MJ, Makkar RR. Mitral Annulus Calcification. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015;66(17):1934–41.
- Elmariah S, Budoff MJ, Delaney JA, Hamirani Y, Eng J, Fuster V, Kronmal RA, Halperin JL, O'Brien KD. Risk factors associated with the incidence and progression of mitral annulus calcification: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. Am Heart J. 2013;166(5):904–12.
- Kizer JR, Wiebers DO, Whisnant JP, Galloway JM, Welty TK, Lee ET, Best LG, Resnick HE, Roman MJ, Devereux RB. Mitral annular calcification, aortic valve sclerosis, and incident stroke in adults free of clinical cardiovascular disease: the strong heart study. Stroke. 2005;36(12):2533–7.
- Fox CS, Vasan RS, Parise H, Levy D, O'Donnell CJ, D'Agostino RB, Benjamin EJ, Framingham Heart S. Mitral annular calcification predicts cardiovascular morbidity and mortality: the Framingham heart study. Circulation. 2003;107(11):1492–6.
- Bild DE, Bluemke DA, Burke GL, Detrano R, Diez Roux AV, Folsom AR, Greenland P, Jacobs DR Jr, Kronmal R, Liu K, et al. Multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis: objectives and design. Am J Epidemiol. 2002;156(9):871–81.
- Kaufman JD, Adar SD, Allen RW, Barr RG, Budoff MJ, Burke GL, Casillas AM, Cohen MA, Curl CL, Daviglus ML, et al. Prospective study of particulate air pollution exposures, subclinical atherosclerosis, and clinical cardiovascular disease: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis and air pollution (MESA Air). Am J Epidemiol. 2012;176(9):825–37.
- Cohen MA, Adar SD, Allen RW, Avol E, Curl CL, Gould T, Hardie D, Ho A, Kinney P, Larson TV, et al. Approach to estimating participant pollutant exposures in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis and air pollution (MESA Air). Environ Sci Technol. 2009;43(13):4687–93.
- Keller JP, Olives C, Kim SY, Sheppard L, Sampson PD, Szpiro AA, Oron AP, Lindstrom J, Vedal S, Kaufman JD. A unified spatiotemporal modeling approach for predicting concentrations of multiple air pollutants in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis and air pollution. Environ Health Perspect. 2015;123(4):301–9.
- Spalt EW, Curl CL, Allen RW, Cohen M, Adar SD, Stukovsky KH, Avol E, Castro-Diehl C, Nunn C, Mancera-Cuevas K, et al. Time-location patterns of a diverse population of older adults: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis and air pollution (MESA Air). J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2016;26(4):436.
- Allen RW, Adar SD, Avol E, Cohen M, Curl CL, Larson T, Liu LJ, Sheppard L, Kaufman JD. Modeling the residential infiltration of outdoor PM(2.5) in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis and air pollution (MESA Air). Environ Health Perspect. 2012;120(6):824–30.
- Carr JJ, Nelson JC, Wong ND, McNitt-Gray M, Arad Y, Jacobs DR, Jr., Sidney S, Bild DE, Williams OD, Detrano RC: Calcified coronary artery plaque measurement with cardiac CT in population-based studies: standardized protocol of multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (MESA) and coronary artery risk development in young adults (CARDIA) study. Radiology 2005, 234(1): 35–43.
- Agatston AS, Janowitz WR, Hildner FJ, Zusmer NR, Viamonte M, Jr., Detrano R: Quantification of coronary artery calcium using ultrafast computed tomography. J Am Coll Cardiol 1990, 15(4):827–832.
- Budoff MJ, Takasu J, Katz R, Mao S, Shavelle DM, O'Brien KD, Blumenthal RS, Carr JJ, Kronmal R. Reproducibility of CT measurements of aortic valve calcification, mitral annulus calcification, and aortic wall calcification in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. Acad Radiol. 2006;13(2):166–72.
- Levey AS, Stevens LA. Estimating GFR using the CKD epidemiology collaboration (CKD-EPI) creatinine equation: more accurate GFR estimates, lower CKD prevalence estimates, and better risk predictions. Am J Kidney Dis. 2010;55(4):622–7.
- Bortnick AE, Bartz TM, Ix JH, Chonchol M, Reiner A, Cushman M, Owens D, Barasch E, Siscovick DS, Gottdiener JS, et al. Association of inflammatory, lipid and mineral markers with cardiac calcification in older adults. Heart. 2016;
- 29. Tibuakuu M, Zhao D, de Boer IH, Guallar E, Bortnick AE, Lutsey PL, Budoff MJ, Kizer JR, Kestenbaum BR, Michos ED. Relation of serum vitamin D to risk

of mitral annular and aortic valve calcium (from the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis). Am J Cardiol. 2017;120(3):473–8.

- Barros AJ, Hirakata VN. Alternatives for logistic regression in cross-sectional studies: an empirical comparison of models that directly estimate the prevalence ratio. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2003;3:21.
- Euro-Lex: Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe. http://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/dir/2008/50/oj. Accessed 27 Nov 2017.
- Lelieveld J, Evans JS, Fnais M, Giannadaki D, Pozzer A. The contribution of outdoor air pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale. Nature. 2015;525(7569):367–71.
- Brauer M, Amann M, Burnett RT, Cohen A, Dentener F, Ezzati M, Henderson SB, Krzyzanowski M, Martin RV, Van Dingenen R, et al. Exposure assessment for estimation of the global burden of disease attributable to outdoor air pollution. Environ Sci Technol. 2012;46(2):652–60.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

